19th March 1959] [Mr. Speaker]

we finish them just in time. I have no objection to put 40 questions but if they are not finished within the time-limit, then we will have to encroach up on the time allotted for the discussion on Demands. Hon. Members are aware that the motion under Rule 40 takes ten minutes and announcements another ten minutes. If they are prepared to sit for ten more minutes beyond 1-30 p.m., I have no objection to increase the number of questions. So, it is only in the general interest of all of us, that we better restrict it to 30 or 35 questions.

SRI R. SRINIVASA IYER : Sir, even the present rate of 30 questions, allows only two minutes for each question. If the number of questions, is increased, some questions cannot be answered and even supplementary questions cannot be put. Many of us are thinking whether it would not be better to reduce the number of questions to 20. Even with 30 questions per day, if all the questions are not finished, the unfinished questions may be carried over to the next day.

MR. SPEAKER : Let us not prolong the discussion on the matter.

III.—ANNOUNCEMENTS.

(1) RECOMMENDATION OF THE BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

MR. SPEAKER : Yesterday the Business Advisory Committee met and decided that in all probability this Assembly may adjourn on the 30th March instead of on the 31st March. On the 30th March the Appropriation Bill and other Official Bills will be taken up for consideration. So, hon. Members can make their own arrangements to leave the station on the 30th March 1959.

(2) NOMINATION OF CHAIRMAN TO THE COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES.

MR. SPEAKER : Yesterday I informed the House that I would announce the Chairman of the Privileges Committee to-day. Under sub-rule (4) of Rule 178 of the Madras Assembly Rules, I nominate Sri A. Vedarathnam Pillai to be the Chairman of the Committee of Privileges.

IV.—PRIVILEGE MOTION *RE* PUBLICATION OF AN INCORRECT REPORT IN THE 'MAIL',

MR. SPEAKER : Yesterday the hon. Member Sri Srinivasa Iyer raised a privilege issue with regard to the report of his speech in '*The Madras Mail*'. I have to inform the House that I had a talk with the Chief Reporter of '*The Madras Mail*' and I am glad to say that he has given me a copy of '*The Madras Mail*' in which there is not only a correction but also an expression of regret for having published a wrong report. Under the circumstances, I suggest that the matter be dropped and no further action be taken.